



[www.mtclimatechange.us](http://www.mtclimatechange.us)

**DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY**  
**Montana Climate Change Advisory Committee**  
**Agriculture, Forestry & Waste Management**  
**Technical Work Group**  
**Call #2, September 29, 2006**

Attendance:

1. TWG Members: Trudi Peterson, Lowell Whitney, Sterling Miller, Neil Sampson, Richard Liebert
2. Public: None
3. CCS: Steve Roe
4. DEQ: Lisa Peterson, Jim Boyer, Bob Habeck, Bill Bahr

Background documents:

(all posted at [http://www.mtclimatechange.us/Agriculture\\_Forestry.cfm](http://www.mtclimatechange.us/Agriculture_Forestry.cfm))

1. Agenda
2. Draft Summary of AFW TWG Call#1
3. Power Point Presentation to the AFW TWG
4. AFW Policy Options Catalog
5. MT Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Forecast, 1990-2020 (posted at: <http://www.mtclimatechange.us/ewebeditpro/items/O127F8927.pdf>)

Discussion and conclusions:

1. CCS provided a review of what was covered during AFW Call #1. No changes were made to the summary of this call.
2. CCS provided a review of what was covered during MT CCAC Meeting #2. That meeting was spent reviewing the draft inventory and forecast and anticipated changes to the inventory and forecast based on comments received from the TWG and DEQ. The bulk of the meeting was spent reviewing the catalog of state actions. The CCAC added several options to the AFW list.
3. Regarding the forestry inventory and forecast, several TWG members stated that they would like to schedule a meeting specifically to discuss the inventory issues in more detail. CCS asked which TWG members would like to take part in this meeting. Members expressing an interest were Lowell Whitney and Neil Sampson, as well as DEQ staff (Lynda Saul, Bob Habeck, Jeff Blend, and Jim Boyer). A teleconference was schedule for October 10 @ 2:00 MST (the standard CCS AFW TWG call-in number and pass code will be used).
4. The AFW policy options catalog was then reviewed. The objectives of this review were to identify options that still were missing from the catalog, identify additional clarifying material for each option, and offer TWG members an

[www.mtclimatechange.us](http://www.mtclimatechange.us)

- opportunity to share their knowledge of specific options and their potential applicability in MT. All of the agricultural and forestry options were reviewed. The waste management options will be reviewed during the next call.
5. CCS explained the process of prioritizing options for analysis. Following the next TWG call, each TWG member will receive a ballot via email. Each member can vote for 10 options with only one vote per option. Each member will also be able to provide additional input regarding their votes (e.g. desire to package two or more options together). CCS will consolidate the voting and present the results to the group during the following call. During that call, CCS will solicit volunteers from the TWG to develop straw proposals for the design of each policy. A standard policy template will be used for this purpose (also to be reviewed during the call).

Next steps:

1. CCS will provide any information on forest inventory/forecast revisions gathered prior to the ad hoc forestry group call to this group before the call (on October 10 @ 2 p.m. MST).
2. TWG members should continue review of the policy catalog and be ready to discuss any additional issues on the next TWG call (see below).
3. The next call is scheduled for Friday, October 20, 2006, from 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM.